

## Regional Arterials Study

Page Number	Figure Number	Figure Title
32	2.4	FHWA Classification Table
31	2.3	Grouping-up Process - Deferred to TxDOT Classification Table
33	2.5	Existing Arterial Network Map
34	2.6	Most Congested Roadways in Capital Area Region
35	2.7	Percent of Road Miles by CAMPO Classification Type Table
36	2.8	VMT by County
36	2.9	VMT by Functional Class
36	2.10	VHT by County
36	2.11	VHT by Functional Class
37	2.12	Functional Classification Performance Metrics
40	2.14	Network Connectivity Policies
43	2.17	Intersection Density Map
52	2.26	Crash Rates and Dangerous Corridors Map
54	2.27	Traffic Generators Map
55	2.28	Average Emergency Response Time Service Goal
56	2.29	Redundancy/Emergency Management Policy Summary Table
59	2.3	Vulnerability Map
60	2.31	Aquifers and Floodplains Map
61	2.32	Prime Farmland Map
62	2.33	Soil Plasticity Map
63	2.34	Preserved Lands Map
65	2.35	2020 County to County Trips Map
66	2.36	2020 Population Density
67	2.37	2040 Population Density
69	2.38	2040 Population Density
70	2.39	2015 Home to Work Average Trip Length by County
71	2.4	Top Three Arterials Over Capacity by County
72	2.41	Capacity Table
73	2.42	CAMPO Region Inflow/Outflow Analysis
74	2.43	CAMPO - KTMPO - AAMPO Region Inflow/Outflow Analysis
76	2.44	Baseline Scenario: AM Peak Period Existing Congestion Levels
77	2.45	Baseline Scenario: PM Peak Period Existing Congestion Levels
82	2.46	CAMPO Population Percentage Growth 2005 to 2015
84	2.47	CAMPO Population Percentage Growth 2025 to 2045
86	2.48	Existing and Planned Network with Locally-Identified Needs
100	4.1	Existing and Planned Network with Locally-Identified Needs
101	4.2	RM 1431 Corridor Gap Analysis Through Multiple Jurisdictions
102	4.3	Regional Corridors
103	4.4	Regional Corridors US 183 to US 90
105	4.6	V/C Ratio Ranges
107	4.7	Baseline Scenario: AM Peak Period Existing Congestion Levels
108	4.8	Baseline Scenario: PM Peak Period Existing Congestion Levels
110	4.9	Scenario Z: Future No Build AM Peak Period Existing Congestion Levels
111	4.10	Scenario Z: Future No Build PM Peak Period Existing Congestion Levels
112	4.11	Example of Capacity Increase Due to Peak-Period Reversible Lane Trips
114	4.12	Regional Connectors Impacts to Regional Arterials
116	4.14	Regional Connectors - Crash Rates
117	4.15	Regional Connectors - Crash Rates (Average)
118	4.16	Regional Connectors - Crash Rates (Median)
119	4.17	Scenario A: Regional Connectors AM Peak Period Existing Congestion Levels
120	4.18	Scenario A: Regional Connectors PM Peak Period Existing Congestion Levels
121	4.19	Scenario A: Regional Connectors
123	4.20	Example of Potential Change in Person Throughput with the HOV Option
124	4.21	Scenario B: HOV Lanes (Not Modeled)*
125	4.22	Existing Transit Routes on Potential HOV Lanes
126	4.23	Job Centers Near Potential HOV Lanes
128	4.24	Scenario C: Regional Corridors
129	4.25	Scenario C: Combined Concepts
130	4.26	Scenario C: Combined Concepts AM Peak Period Existing Congestion Levels
131	4.27	Scenario C: Combined Concepts PM Peak Period Existing Congestion Levels
133	4.28	Scenario D: Regional and Supporting Connections
134	4.29	Scenario D: Regional and Supporting Connections AM Peak Period Existing Congestion Levels
135	4.30	Scenario D: Regional and Supporting Connections PM Peak Period Existing Congestion Levels
136	4.31	Scenario Comparison: Vehicle Miles Travel (VMT)
136	4.32	Scenario Comparison: Lane Miles
136	4.33	Scenario Comparison: Vehicle Hours Travel (VHT)
137	4.34	Scenario Comparisons

151	5.8	Potential Candidates for On-System and Off-System Conversions
153	5.12	2018 UTP Funding Forecast for Austin District and CAMPO - 10 Years
154	5.13	2018 TxDOT UTP Funding Allocation - CAMPO
154	5.14	Funding by Sponsor
155	5.15	Funding by County
164	5.16	Arterials Concept List Glossary
165-207	5.17	Arterials Concept List
208	5.18	Interchanges
209-212	5.19	Regional Corridor Inventory Interchange Concept Summary

## Active Transportation

Page Number	Figure Title
13	Existing Conditions
15	Active Transportation Demand Heat Map Analysis
16	Pedestrian Zones
17	Regional Works: Tier 1, 2, and 3 Vision Connectors
18	Unconstrained Network
20	Priority Network Map
21	Current Status Analysis
4	Tier 1 Network
4	Tier 1 and 2 Networks
4	Tier 1, 2, and 3 Vision Connectors
5	Distances in the CAMPO region
5	Typical Bike Ride Distance
6	Demand for Bicycling and Walking Across the Region
6	Distance, Demand, and "Gravity Scores" for Communities
7	Connections form Each Municipality
7	Top Scoring Connections
7	Tier 1 Priority Network Connects the highest Scoring Communities
8	Barriers for Biking and Difficult Biking Routes
8	Gaps Identified by CAMPO Staff
9	Tier 1 Network
10	Tier 1 and 2 Networks
11	Tier 1, 2, and 3 Vision Connectors
12	Unconstrained Network
13	Gravity Analysis
13	Existing and Planned Facilities
14	Locally Identified needs
14	WikiMap Comments
15	Additional Network Gaps
4	Existing and Planned Bicycle Facilities
9	Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes, by mode and severity
9	Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes, all severities, by mode and year
10	Bicycle and Pedestrian Crash Density
16	CAMPO Bicycle Level of Comfort
19	Gaps Analysis
15-16	US Department of Transportation Surface Transportation Funding Programs

## Incident Management

Page Number	Figure/Table Number	Figure Title
iii	Figure 1	Recommendations
3	Figure 2	CMPO Region
4	Table 1	CAMPO Regional Incident Management Strategic Plan Stakeholders
6	Figure 3	FHWA Sources of Congestion
8	Figure 4	TxDOT District Average Incident Clearance Times
10	Figure 5	CTECC
11	Figure 6	TIM Successes in the CAMPO Region
13	Figure 7	TxDOT Sponsored SHRP2 TIM Train the Trainer Class
14	Figure 8	HERO Safety Service Patrol Expansion Phasing
15	Figure 9	Traffic Management Operations at CTECC
16	Figure 10	Increased Traffic Management Center Capabilities
20	Figure 11	Summary of Regional Incident Management Recommendations
21	Table 2	Policy Recommendations
22	Table 3	Communication and Coordination Recommendations
23	Table 4	Infrastructure Recommendations
25	Table 5	Response and Clearance Recommendations
25	Figure 11	Incident Timeline

26	Table 6	Training Recommendations
27	Table 7	Data and Performance Measure Recommendations
28	Table 8	Public Engagement Recommendations
29	Figure 12	Benefit-Cost Ratios of TIM Improvements Allowing Quantitative Analysis
34	Table 9	FHWA National TIM Program Objectives and Related Performance Measures
35	Table 10	Recommended TIM Performance Measures for the CAMPO Region
39	Figure 13	Summary of Funding Opportunities
41	Table 11	Federal and State Funding Sources
A-2	Table 12	Benefit-Cost Ratios of TIM Improvements Allowing Quantitative Analysis

## TDM

Page Number	Figure Number	Figure Title
2	1.1	Transportation Demand Management
4	1.2	January 29, 2019 TDM Steering Committee Meeting
15-17	3.1	Measuring Progress on TDM Plan Goals
19-20	4.1	CAMPO TDM Project Selection Criteria
24	5.1	Agency Chart Guide
28	5.2	CAMPO 2045 Population Distribution
30	5.3	Major Employers with more than 300 employees
31	5.4	CAMPO 2045 Employment Distribution
32	5.5	CAMPO Regional VMT, Daily
33	5.6	Daily VMT per Capita in the CAMPO Region 2005-2017
33	5.7	Daily VMT per Capita in the CAMPO Region 2005-2017, , Regional Average
34	5.8	The Most Congested Roadways in Texas: Austin - Round Rock
35	5.9	Pedestrian and Bicycle Mileage Inventory
35	5.10	Existing Regional Bicycle, Rural Transit, Park and Ride, Urban Transit, and Toll Facilities
37	5.11	Percent of Commuters Using Modes Other Than SOV
39	5.12	Percent of Commuters Using Public Transit
51	5.13	Population Density and Percent Drive Alone