

FM 1626 and RM 967 Intersection Study

Pre-proposal Meeting Questions & Answers

Note: Questions and answers provided during the pre-proposal meeting have been paraphrased and/or edited for clarity.

Q: Who will be on the evaluation team for the proposals?

A: The proposal evaluation team will be a mix of CAMPO and City of Buda staff.

Q: Is there a specific tool that CAMPO would prefer for the traffic simulation model?

A: No, this is left to the discretion of the proposing consultant.

Q: What is the status of the planned Garlic Creek Parkway study?

A: CAMPO and the City of Buda are still discussing this study.

Q: Is CAMPO's General Engineering Consultant (GEC) team precluded from submitting for this work?

A: The prime consultant for the GEC is precluded, but the subconsultants on the GEC contract are not precluded.

Q: For Task 5, is the consultant expected to consider where funding is available in determining/categorizing the likely timeframe of project implementation (e.g., near-term, long-term)?

A: Yes, projects costs and funding availability/strategies should be considered for this analysis.

Q: Who would lead future phases of work, including engineering design and environmental clearance?

A: This is yet to be determined.

Q: What is the status of plans that are currently being updated by the City of Buda, including the Comprehensive Plan and the Downtown Master Plan?

A: These plans are currently in process. The Comprehensive Plan includes an FM 1626 corridor study component; this component is expected to be completed later in 2023.

Q: Does CAMPO expect a visualization of the traffic simulation model (Task 4) will be used for public engagement?

A: Yes.

Questions Received by E-mail

Q: Is the use of the CAMPO logo allowed in the submittal?

A: Yes.

Q: Who should we address in response to this RFP?

A: You may address proposals to Will Lisska, Regional Planning Manager.

Q: Regarding the last sentence within Section E [The consultant will also specify the ability of key staff members to attend in-person meetings within the six-county CAMPO region and to work at the CAMPO office], is CAMPO asking for consultant staff members' availability to be collocated full-time at the CAMPO office throughout the duration of this contract, or rather their availability to meet at the CAMPO office for short-term periods (i.e., to meet with CAMPO staff)?

A: CAMPO wants to understand the ability of the key staff from the proposing team to meet and/or collaborate in-person at the CAMPO offices for short-term periods, potentially on short notice. Significant co-location of proposing team staff is not expected for this project.